arXiv:0809.3303v1 [math.AG] 19 Sep 2008

On Hyperelliptic Abelian Functions of Genus 3

Atsushi Nakayashiki*
Department of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Dedicated to Mikio Sato on his eightieth birthday

Abstract

The affine ring A of the affine Jacobian variety $J(X)\backslash\Theta$ of a hyperelliptic curve of genus 3 is studied as a $\mathcal{D}$ module. A conjecture on the minimal $\mathcal{D}$-free resolution previously proposed is proved in this case. As a by-product a linear basis of A is explicitly constructed in terms of derivatives of Klein's hyperelliptic $\wp$-functions.

---

*e-mail: 6vertex@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

Let X be a hyperelliptic curve of genus 3, J(X) the Jacobian of X, Θ the theta divisor, A the affine ring of $J(X)\backslash\Theta$ and $\mathcal{D}$ the ring of holomorphic differential operators on $J(X)$. The purpose of this paper is to determine the structure of A as a $\mathcal{D}$-module.

In general, for Jacobians, the non-linear differential equations satisfied by elements of the affine ring are related with soliton equations [15, 4, 6]. However the corresponding equations for non-Jacobians are not known. The study of the $\mathcal{D}$-module structure of the affine ring A of an abelian variety is important from this point of view, since the results for Jacobians and non-Jacobians can be compared in the same field.

In our previous paper [20] a conjecture on the $\mathcal{D}$-free resolution of A is formulated in the case of hyperelliptic curves of arbitrary genus. Up to now the conjecture is verified only for the cases of genus 1 and 2. However those cases are contained in the generic case where the theta divisor is non-singular. In the case of a principally polarized abelian variety (J, Θ) with Θ being non-singular, the $\mathcal{D}$-module structure of the affine ring is completely determined in [7]. Namely the minimal free resolution is explicitly constructed. In the present case of genus 3 the theta divisor has an isolated singular point. Thus it is the first case of the conjecture that is not contained in the generic one.

Filtrations are important when one studies $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We introduce two filtrations on A, pole and KP-filtrations. The pole filtration is defined by the order of poles on Θ and it can be defined for other abelian varieties than Jacobians. To define KP-filtration we use Klein's hyperelliptic sigma function $\sigma(u) = \sigma(u_1, ..., u_g)$ [12, 13, 6, 18]. We consider Θ as the zero set of $\sigma(u)$. Let

$$\wp_{i_1 \ldots i_n}(u) = -\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_n} \log \sigma(u), \qquad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}.$$

For $n \geq 2$ $\wp_{i_1 \ldots i_n}(u)$ is contained in A and conversely A is generated by $\{\wp_{i_1 \ldots i_n}(u)\}$ as a ring. Assign degree $\sum_{j=1}^{n}(2i_j - 1)$ to $\wp_{i_1 \ldots i_n}(u)$. Then the KP-filtration $\{A_n\}$ is defined by specifying A_n to be the vector space generated by elements of degree at most n. The KP-filtration is specific to Jacobians and is related to integrable systems known as KP-hierarchy [8, 22].

The KP-filtration seems to be a proper filtration to study the affine ring of a Jacobian. In fact the result on the character [20, 21], which is the generating function of the dimensions of homogeneous components of the associated graded ring, with respect to the KP-filtration manifests a remarkable consistency with other results and constructions, such as the results on the cohomology groups of affine Jacobians [17].

Nevertheless we use the pole filtration for the proof of the conjecture in the present case. There are three reasons for this. One is that the pole filtration can be localized and the sheaf cohomology arguments can be applied. In fact the local structure is inherited to the global structure and it plays a decisive role to determine the $\mathcal{D}$-module structure of A. The second is that we are interested in describing explicitly a basis of abelian functions with poles of order at most n. This is for the sake of the application to finding explicit formulae among abelian functions, such as generalizations of Frobenius-Stickelberger's formula [3, 6, 10, 9]. The third is the lack of the technical device to treat the KP-filtration. For example one can not define the corresponding filtration locally on $J(X)$. It is important to develop intrinsic geometric understanding of the filtration for the further study.

Let $A = \cup A(n)$ and $A = \cup A_n$ be the pole and the KP filtrations. Denote by $\mathrm{gr}^P A$ and $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ the graded rings associated with the pole and KP filtrations respectively. They also become $\mathcal{D}$-modules. We prove that $\mathrm{gr}^P A$ is not finitely generated over $\mathcal{D}$ and analyze how it is not finitely generated. It is shown that the elements of $A(n)$ is not contained in $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}A(n-1)$ but is contained in $\mathcal{D}^{(2)}A(n-1)$, where $\mathcal{D}^{(k)}$ is the space of differential operators of order at most k. Namely some elements of $A(n)/A(n-1)$ are not obtained by differentiating once the elements of $A(n-1)$ but are obtained by differentiating twice and taking linear combinations. This phenomenon is a result of the existence of the singularity of the theta divisor. It gives us an insight, for more general cases, on what happens and what we should prove if Θ is singular. To establish such results we need to study the residue sheaves supported on the singular locus of the theta divisor [1, 23]. To this end we use the Taylor expansion of the sigma function. In fact one of the important properties of the sigma function is that the series expansion is known explicitly [3, 6, 18, 5]. The first term of the expansion is given by Schur function corresponding to the partition determined from the gap sequence at ∞ of X. In the present case the partition is $(3, 2, 1)$ and the corresponding Schur function is

$$S(u) = u_1u_3 - u_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}u_1^3u_2 + \frac{1}{45}u_1^6.$$

The zero set of $S(u)$ has a simple singularity of type A_1 at the origin. Remarkably $\sigma(u)$ is transformed to $S(u)$ around the origin by taking a suitable local coordinate system. With the aid of the explicit form of the local defining equation we can analyze residue sheaves in detail. Then the differential property of $A(n)$ mentioned above can be proved by taking cohomology.

We can deduce from the results on $\mathrm{gr}^P A$ that A is finitely generated over $\mathcal{D}$ although $\mathrm{gr}^P A$ is not. Moreover generators can be taken as

$$1, \quad \wp_{ij}(u), \quad \begin{vmatrix} \wp_{i_1j_1}(u) & \wp_{i_1j_2}(u) \\ \wp_{i_2j_1}(u) & \wp_{i_2j_2}(u) \end{vmatrix}, \quad \begin{vmatrix} \wp_{11}(u) & \wp_{12}(u) & \wp_{13}(u) \\ \wp_{21}(u) & \wp_{22}(u) & \wp_{23}(u) \\ \wp_{31}(u) & \wp_{32}(u) & \wp_{33}(u) \end{vmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Next we derive $\mathcal{D}$-linear relations among derivatives of generators (1) and determine a linear basis of A. Finally we prove that the thus constructed basis gives a basis of $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ and consequently $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ is generated by elements (1) over $\mathcal{D}$. Once this is established the conjectured $\mathcal{D}$-free resolution of $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ and A are proved to be exact [20]. In this way we determine the $\mathcal{D}$-module structure of A, $\mathbb{C}$-basis of A, $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ simultaneously.

The present paper is organized in the following manner. In section 2 we review the definition and fundamental properties of the hyperelliptic sigma function following [6, 18]. The matrix construction of the affine hyperelliptic Jacobian is reviewed and the KP-filtration is introduced in section 3. In section 4 the conjecture of [20] is reviewed and the main result of this paper is given. The local differential structure of sheaves are studied by analyzing the local defining equation of the theta divisor near the singular point in section 5. In section 6 cohomology groups of sheaves with higher order poles are studied. It is shown that A is finitely generated and $\mathrm{gr}^P A$ is not finitely generated over $\mathcal{D}$. The explicit description of the cohomology group $H^3(J(X)\backslash\Theta, \mathbb{C})$ is reviewed in section 7. In section 8 the addition theorem of the genus 3 hyperelliptic sigma function due to H. F. Baker is reviewed and a basis of $A(2)$ is determined in terms of the cohomology of $J(X)\backslash\Theta$ given in the previous section. Bases of Abelian functions of lower order poles are studied in section 9-10. As a consequence it is shown that A is generated by representatives of $H^3(J(X)\backslash\Theta, \mathbb{C})$ given in section 7. In section 11 a linear basis of A is determined as a subset of derivatives of the generators given in section 10. Finally a basis of $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ is determined and the proof of the conjecture is given in section 12. In section 13 some remarks are given and remaining problems are discussed.

2 Sigma Function

In this section we recall the definition and fundamental properties of the hyperelliptic sigma function [6, 18].

Consider the hyperelliptic curve defined by the equation

$$y^2 = f(x), \qquad f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2g+1} \lambda_i x^i, \quad \lambda_{2g+1} = 4.$$

We assume that $f(x)$ has no multiple roots. Let X be the corresponding compact Riemann surface of genus g and

$$du_i = \frac{x^{g-i}dx}{y}, \quad i = 1, ..., g$$

a basis of holomorphic one forms on X. We consider the second kind differentials defined by

$$dr_i = \sum_{k=g+1-i}^{g+i} (k-g+i)\lambda_{k+g+2-i} \frac{x^k dx}{4y}, \quad i = 1, ..., g.$$

Being considered as elements of $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$, $\{du_i, dr_i\}$ forms a symplectic basis with respect to the intersection form $\circ$:

$$du_i \circ du_j = dr_i \circ dr_j = 0, \quad du_i \circ dr_j = \delta_{ij}. \tag{2}$$

By specifying a symplectic basis of the homology group $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ we define period matrices:

$$2\omega_1 = \left(\int_{\alpha_j} du_i\right), \quad 2\omega_2 = \left(\int_{\beta_j} du_i\right), \quad -2\eta_1 = \left(\int_{\alpha_j} dr_i\right), \quad -2\eta_2 = \left(\int_{\beta_j} dr_i\right).$$

Due to the relation (2) Riemann's bilinear relations take the form

$$ {}^tM \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M = -\frac{\pi i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ -I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_2 \\ \eta_1 & \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}, $$

where I_g is the $g \times g$ unit matrix.

Let $p_n(T)$ be the polynomial of T_1, T_2, T_3,... defined by

$$ \exp(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n k^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(T) k^n. $$

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_l)$ define Schur function $S_\lambda(T)$ by

$$ S_\lambda(T) = \det(p_{\lambda_i - i + j}(T))_{1 \le i,j \le l}. $$

Example $\quad S_{(1)}(T) = T_1, \qquad S_{(2,1)}(T) = -T_3 + \frac{T_1^3}{3},$

$$ S_{(3,2,1)}(T) = T_1 T_5 - T_3^2 - \frac{1}{3} T_1^3 T_3 + \frac{1}{45} T_1^6. $$

We assign degree $-i$ to T_i:

$$ \deg T_i = -i. $$

Then $S_\lambda(T)$ is homogeneous of degree $-|\lambda|$, where $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l$. For each $g \ge 1$ we associate the partition

$$ \lambda(2, 2g+1) = (g, g-1, ..., 1). $$

A special property of this partition is that $S_{\lambda(2,2g+1)}(T)$ is a polynomial of $T_1, T_3, ..., T_{2g-1}$, that is, it does not depend on variables T_{2i}. Consider the variables u_i, $1 \le i \le g$ and assign the degree as

$$ \deg u_i = -(2i-1). \tag{3} $$

Definition 1 *The fundamental sigma function or simply the sigma function* $\sigma(u)$ *is the holomorphic function on* $\mathbb{C}^g$ *of the variables* $u = {}^t(u_1, ..., u_g)$ *which satisfies the following conditions.*

(i) $\quad \sigma(u + 2\omega_1 m_1 + 2\omega_2 m_2) = (-1)^{{}^t m_1 m_2 + 2({}^t\delta' m_1 - {}^t\delta'' m_2)}$

$$ \times \exp\left({}^t(2\eta_1 m_1 + 2\eta_2 m_2)(u + \omega_1 m_1 + \omega_2 m_2)\right) \sigma(u), $$

where $\delta', \delta'' \in \{0, 1/2\}^g$ *are defined by*

$$ \delta' = {}^t(\frac{1}{2}, ..., \frac{1}{2}), \quad \delta' = {}^t(\frac{g}{2}, \frac{g-1}{2}, ..., \frac{1}{2}). $$

(ii) The expansion at the origin is of the form

$$\sigma(u) = S_{\lambda(2,2g+1)}(T)|_{T_{2i-1}=u_i} + \sum_d f_d(u), \tag{4}$$

where $f_d(u)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d and the sum is over integers d satisfying $d < -|\lambda(2, 2g+1)|$.

The sigma function is related to Riemann's theta function with the period matrix $\tau = \omega_1^{-1}\omega_2$ by

$$\sigma(u) = C \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}{}^t u \eta_1 \omega_1^{-1} u\right) \theta \left[\begin{array}{c} \delta' \\ \delta'' \end{array} \right] ((2\omega_1)^{-1}u, \tau), \tag{5}$$

where C is some constant specified by (ii) of Definition 1 (see [6] for the explicit formula for C). It follows from this formula that $\sigma(u)$ is even or odd:

$$\sigma(-u) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}g(g+1)}\sigma(u).$$

Let

$$J(X) = \mathbb{C}^g / 2\omega_1\mathbb{Z}^g + 2\omega_2\mathbb{Z}^g$$

be the Jacobian variety of X and Θ the divisor defined by the zero set of $\sigma(u)$:

$$\Theta = \{u \in J(X) \,|\, \sigma(u) = 0\,\}.$$

Let

$$J'(X) = \mathbb{C}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g + \tau\mathbb{Z}^g.$$

Then $J(X)$ and $J'(X)$ are isomorphic by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J(X) & \longrightarrow & J'(X), \\ u & \mapsto & (2\omega_1)^{-1}u. \end{array}$$

The relation (5) signifies that Θ is transformed, by this isomorphism, to Θ' on $J'(X)$ defined by

$$\Theta' = \{z \in J'(X) \,|\, \theta(z + \tau\delta' + \delta'', \tau) = 0\,\}.$$

Thus Θ is isomorphic to the translate of the divisor defined by the zero set of Riemann's theta function with zero characteristic. We call Θ the theta divisor throughout this paper.

3 Affine Jacobian

In this section we review the matrix construction of the affine Jacobian variety $J(X)\backslash\Theta$ and give a description of the generators of the affine ring in terms of the sigma function [15, 6].

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of matrices of the form

$$L(x) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} a(x) & b(x) \\ c(x) & -a(x) \end{array} \right],$$

$$a(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{g} a_{2i+1} x^{g-i}, \quad b(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{g} b_{2i} x^{g-i}, \quad c(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{g+1} c_{2i} x^{g+1-i}, \quad b_0 = 1, c_0 = 4.$$

We set $a_1 = 0$. We identify $\mathcal{L}$ with the affine space $\mathbb{C}^{3g+1}$ by the map

$$L(x) \mapsto (a_3, ..., a_{2g+1}, b_2, ..., b_{2g}, c_2, ..., c_{2g+2}).$$

For a polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2g+1} \lambda_i x^i$, $\lambda_{2g+1} = 4$, consider the equation

$$- \det L(x) = f(x). \tag{6}$$

It gives a set of equations for a_i, b_j, c_k. Let $\mathcal{L}_f$ be the set of elements of $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying (6).

Theorem 1 [15] *If $f(x)$ does not have multiple roots, $\mathcal{L}_f$ is an affine algebraic variety and is isomorphic to $J(X)\backslash\Theta$.*

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{C}[a_{2i+1}, b_{2j}, c_{2k} \,|\, 1 \le i \le g, 1 \le j \le g, 1 \le k \le g+1]$$

be the polynomial ring of $3g+1$ variables and I_f the ideal generated by the coefficients of (6). Then

$$A_f = \mathbf{A}/I_f \tag{7}$$

is the affine ring of $\mathcal{L}_f$. Notice that A_f is generated by a_i, b_j since c_k is expressed as a polynomial of a_i, b_j by (6) [20].

The affine ring of $J(X)\backslash\Theta$ is isomorphic to the ring of meromorphic functions on $J(X)$ with poles only on Θ. Meromorphic functions on $J(X)$ are identified with those on $\mathbb{C}^g$ that are periodic with respect to the lattice $2\omega_1\mathbb{Z}^g + 2\omega_2\mathbb{Z}^g$. Such periodic functions can be constructed as logarithmic derivatives of the sigma function for example. Let

$$\wp_{i_1 \dots i_n}(u) = -\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_n} \log \sigma(u), \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}.$$

For $n \ge 2$ $\wp_{i_1 \dots i_n}(u)$ becomes an element of the affine ring of $J(X)\backslash\Theta$. According to Theorem 1 a_i, b_j should be described as meromorphic functions on $J(X)$. The result is known as [6]

$$b_{2i} = -\wp_{1i}(u), \qquad a_{2j+1} = \wp_{11j}(u). \tag{8}$$

In the following we fix $f(x)$ and denote A_f simply by A. We introduce a filtration on A using the relation (7). Define a grading on $\mathbf{A}$ by

$$\deg a_i = i, \qquad \deg b_i = i, \qquad \deg c_i = i.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_n, \qquad \mathbf{A}_0 = \mathbb{C},$$

be the homogeneous decomposition of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\pi : \mathbf{A} \to A$ the projection. We set

$$A_n = \pi(\oplus_{d=0}^{n} A_d)$$

for $n \geq 0$ and $A_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. Obviously $\{A_n\}$ defines an increasing filtration of $A = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$ which we call KP-filtration. Let $\operatorname{gr}^{KP} A$ be the associated graded ring

$$\operatorname{gr}^{KP} A = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{gr}_n^{KP} A, \quad \operatorname{gr}_n^{KP} A = A_n / A_{n-1}.$$

Lemma 1 *For $n \geq 2$ and $i_1, ..., i_n \in \{1, ..., g\}$ we have*

$$\wp_{i_1 \ldots i_n} \in A_{\sum_{j=1}^{n}(2i_j - 1)}.$$

To prove this lemma we first describe the action of ∂_i on a_j, b_k. The translation invariant vector field D_i on $J(X)$ is constructed in [15]. It gives

$$\begin{aligned} D_l(a_{2k+1}) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum (b_{2i} c_{2j+2} - b_{2j} c_{2i+2}) - b_{2k} b_{2l}, \\ D_l(b_{2k}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum (a_{2i+1} b_{2j} - a_{2j+1} b_{2i}), \\ D_l(c_{2k+2}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum (c_{2i+2} a_{2j+1} - c_{2j+2} a_{2i+1}) + 2 b_{2l} a_{2k+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where all sums are over (i, j) satisfying

$$i + j = k + l - 1, \qquad i \geq \max(k, l), \qquad j \leq \min(k, l) - 1.$$

Due to the coefficient 4 of x^{2g+1} in $f(x)$ the coefficients in the right hand side of the above formulae are different from those in [15]. We have

$$D_l(b_2) = \frac{1}{2} a_{2l+1}.$$

In terms of $\wp_{i_1 \ldots i_n}$

$$D_l \wp_{11}(u) = -\frac{1}{2} \wp_{11l}(u). \tag{10}$$

Lemma 2 $D_l = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_l.$

Proof. The equation (10) is written as

$$(D_l + \frac{1}{2}\partial_l)(\wp_{11}(u)) = 0.$$

Therefore it is sufficient to prove the following statement: if an invariant vector field $D = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \alpha_i \partial_i$ satisfies

$$D\wp_{11}(u) = 0, \tag{11}$$

then $D = 0$. In fact (11) implies

$$\frac{2\sigma_1^2 D\sigma}{\sigma^3} - \frac{D(\sigma_1^2) + \sigma_{11} D\sigma}{\sigma^2} + \frac{D(\sigma_{11})}{\sigma} = 0,$$

where $\sigma_1 = \partial_1 \sigma$, $\sigma_{11} = \partial_1^2 \sigma$. It means that $\sigma_1^2 D\sigma/\sigma$ is holomorphic.

By claim (i) of Lemma 8

$$\wp_{11}(u) = -\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\sigma_{11}}{\sigma}$$

has poles of order two on Θ. Since Θ is irreducible, $D\sigma/\sigma$ is holomorphic. Then

$$\partial_1 \frac{D\sigma}{\sigma} = -\sum_{i=1}^{g} \alpha_i \wp_{1i}(u)$$

is holomorphic on $J(X)$. Thus it is a constant. Since $\{1, \wp_{ij} \,|1 \le i \le j \le g\}$ is linearly independent by Proposition 5, $\alpha_i = 0$ for any i. Thus Lemma 2 is proved. ∎

Proof of Lemma 1

Since $b_2 = -\wp_{11}(u)$, we have

$$\wp_{11}(u) \in A_2.$$

The formulae (9) shows that

$$D_l A_n \subset A_{n+2l-1}. \tag{12}$$

The relation of $\sigma(u)$ to the τ-function [8] of the KP-hierarchy [19, 11] implies that $\wp_{ij}(u)$ is expressed as a homogeneous polynomial of $\wp_{11}$, $\wp_{111}$,... of degree $(2i-1)+(2j-1)$ modulo $A_{2(i+j)-3}$, where the homogeneity is with respect to the degree $\deg \partial_1^i \wp_{11} = i+2$. Thus, by (12) and the obvious relation $A_m A_n \subset A_{m+n}$, we have

$$\wp_{i_1 i_2}(u) \in A_{(2i_1-1)+(2i_2-1)}.$$

Applying $\partial_{i_3} \cdots \partial_{i_n} = (-2)^{n-2} D_{i_3} \cdots D_{i_n}$ to $\wp_{i_1 i_2}(u)$ we get the desired result. ∎

In general, for a graded vector space $S = \oplus_n S_n$, we define the character of S as the generating function of the dimensions of homogeneous components:

$$\mathrm{ch}(S) = \sum q^n \mathrm{dim}\, S_n.$$

To give a formulae for the character of $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ we introduce the notation:

$$[n]_p = 1 - p^n, \qquad [n]_p! = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [\, i\,]_p, \qquad [n + \frac{1}{2}]_p! = \prod_{i=0}^{n} [\, i + \frac{1}{2}\,]_p,$$

for a non-negative integer n.

Theorem 2 [20]

$$ch(gr^{KP} A) = \frac{[\,\frac{1}{2}\,]_{q^2}[2g+1]_{q^2}!}{[g]_{q^2}![g+1]_{q^2}![g+\frac{1}{2}]_{q^2}!}.$$

In this paper we also consider another filtration on A, the pole filtration, defined as follows. For $a \in A$ we denote by $\mathrm{ord}\, a$ the order of poles on Θ. Set

$$A(n) = \{\, a \in A \,|\, \mathrm{ord}\, a \le n \,\}. \tag{13}$$

Then $\{A(n)\}$ defines an increasing filtration on A. Notice that $A(0) = A(1) = \mathbb{C}$. The graded ring associated with this filtration is denoted by $\mathrm{gr}^P A$:

$$\mathrm{gr}^P A = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{gr}_n^P A, \qquad \mathrm{gr}_n^P A = A(n)/A(n-1).$$

It is obvious that the following relation holds:

$$\partial_i A(n) \subset A(n+1). \tag{14}$$

4 Abelian Functions as a $\mathcal{D}$-module

Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, ..., \partial_g]$ be the ring of holomorphic differential operators on $J(X)$. As observed in the previous section the affine ring A of $J(X)\backslash\Theta$ becomes a $\mathcal{D}$-module. The relations (12) and (14) imply that $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ and $\mathrm{gr}^P A$ become also $\mathcal{D}$-modules. In this section we recall the conjecture on the $\mathcal{D}$-module structure of A and $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ proposed in [20].

Let

$$V = \oplus_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{C}\epsilon_i \oplus \oplus_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{C}\mu_i$$

be the vector space of dimension $2g$ with the basis $\{\epsilon_i, \mu_i\}$. Consider the two form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \epsilon_i \wedge \mu_i \in \wedge^2 V,$$

and set

$$W^k = \frac{\wedge^k V}{\omega \wedge^{k-2} V} \quad k \geq 2, \quad W^1 = V, \quad W^0 = \mathbb{C}. \tag{15}$$

We define a grading on V by assigning

$$\deg \epsilon_i = -(2i-1), \qquad \deg \mu_i = 2i-1.$$

Then $\wedge^k V$ for $k \geq 2$ is naturally graded and $\deg \omega = 0$. Thus W^k is also graded as the quotient of two graded spaces.

Define the map

$$d : \mathcal{D} \otimes \wedge^k V \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \wedge^{k+1} V$$

by

$$d(P \otimes \nu) = \sum \partial_i P \otimes (\epsilon_i \wedge \nu), \quad P \in \mathcal{D}, \quad \nu \in \wedge^k V,$$

and the map

$$\omega : \mathcal{D} \otimes \wedge^k V \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \wedge^{k+2} V$$

by

$$\omega(P \otimes \nu) = P \otimes (\omega \wedge \nu).$$

We specify a grading on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$\deg \partial_i = 2i-1.$$

The space $\mathcal{D} \otimes \wedge^k V$ naturally inherits a grading from $\mathcal{D}$ and $\wedge^k V$. The maps d and ω preserve the grading since $\deg \omega = 0$ and $\deg d = \deg \sum \partial_i \otimes \epsilon_i = 0$. Obviously d and ω commute and $d^2 = 0$. Therefore d induces a map

$$d : \mathcal{D} \otimes W^k \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes W^{k+1},$$

and defines the complex $(\mathcal{D} \otimes W^\bullet, d)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes W^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes W^g \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 1 [20] *The complex $(\mathcal{D} \otimes W^\bullet, d)$ is exact at $\mathcal{D} \otimes W^k$, $k \neq g$.*

Let

$$T^* = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{C} du_i$$

be the space of holomorphic one forms on $J(X)$. We define the map

$$\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{D} \otimes W^g \longrightarrow A \otimes \wedge^g T^*,$$

as follows. Let

$$\zeta_i(u) = \partial_i \log \sigma(u), \qquad \zeta_{ij}(u) = -\wp_{ij}(u) = \partial_i \partial_j \log \sigma(u).$$

Then

$$d\zeta_i = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \zeta_{ij}(u) du_j \in A \otimes T^*.$$

We set

$$du^{\mathrm{max}} = du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_g.$$

Since $\wedge^g T^* = \mathbb{C} du^{\mathrm{max}}$, $A \otimes \wedge^g T^*$ becomes a $\mathcal{D}$-module by

$$P(a \otimes du^{\mathrm{max}}) = P(a) \otimes du^{\mathrm{max}}.$$

As a $\mathcal{D}$-module $A \otimes \wedge^g T^*$ and A are isomorphic. For $I = (i_1, ..., i_r) \in \{1, ..., g\}^r$ we use the notation like

$$\epsilon_I = \epsilon_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_{i_r}.$$

We define

$$\mathrm{ev}\left(P \otimes (\mu_I \wedge \epsilon_J)\right) = P\left(d\zeta_I \wedge du_J\right),$$

where $P \in \mathcal{D}$, $I = (i_1, ..., i_r) \in \{1, ..., g\}^r$ and $J = (j_{r+1}, ..., j_g) \in \{1, ..., g\}^{g-r}$.

The map ev can be written explicitly in terms of ζ_{ij}. Let $J^c = (j_1, ..., j_r)$ be defined such that $j_1 < \cdots < j_r$ and $\{1, ..., g\} \backslash J = \{j_1, ..., j_r\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & d\zeta_I \wedge du_J = sgn(J^c, J)(I; J^c) du^{\mathrm{max}}, \\ & (I; J^c) = \det(\zeta_{i_k j_l})_{1 \le k, l \le r}, \end{aligned}$$

where $sgn(J^c, J)$ is the sign of the permutation (J^c, J). Notice that $(i; j) = \zeta_{ij}$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{ev}\left(P \otimes (\mu_I \wedge \epsilon_J)\right) = \mathrm{sgn}(J^c, J) P\left((I; J^c)\right) du^{\mathrm{max}}.$$

We also define the graded version ev^{gr} of the map ev. To this end let us define a grading on T^* by

$$\deg du_i = -(2i - 1).$$

Then $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A \otimes \wedge^g T^*$ is graded. Let

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes W^g = \oplus \left(\mathcal{D} \otimes W^g\right)_n, \quad \mathrm{gr}^{KP} A \otimes \wedge^g T^* = \oplus \left(\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A \otimes \wedge^g T^*\right)_n,$$

be the homogeneous decompositions. Notice that

$$\left(\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A \otimes \wedge^g T^*\right)_n = \mathrm{gr}^{KP}_{n+g^2} A \otimes du^{\max}.$$

We have

$$\deg(\mu_I \wedge \epsilon_J) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} (2i_k - 1) - \sum_{k=r+1}^{g} (2j_k - 1) =: d_{I,J}.$$

On the other hand a calculation shows that

$$(I; J^c) \in A_{d_{I,J}+g^2}.$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{ev}\left((\mathcal{D} \otimes W^g)_n\right) \subset A_{n+g^2} \otimes du^{\max}.$$

Composing ev and the projection $A_{n+g^2} \to \mathrm{gr}^{KP}_{n+g^2} A$ we can define

$$\mathrm{ev}^{gr}_n : (\mathcal{D} \otimes W^g)_n \longrightarrow \left(\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A \otimes \wedge^g T^*\right)_n.$$

We set

$$\mathrm{ev}^{gr} = \oplus_n \mathrm{ev}^{gr}_n : \mathcal{D} \otimes W^g \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}^{KP} A \otimes \wedge^g T^*.$$

Conjecture 1 [20] *The map ev^{gr} is surjective. In other words $gr^{KP} A$ is generated, as a $\mathcal{D}$-module, by $1 \in gr^{KP}_0 A$ and $(I; J^c) \in gr^{KP}_{d_{I,J}+g^2} A$, $r \geq 1$, $I = (i_1, ..., i_r) \in \{1, ..., g\}^r$, $J = (i_{r+1}, ..., i_g) \in \{1, ..., g\}^{g-r}$.*

Corollary 1 [20] *If the conjecture 1 is true, the following two complexes are exact and give $\mathcal{D}$-free resolutions of $gr^{KP} A$ and A respectively:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{D} \otimes W^1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{D} \otimes W^g \xrightarrow{ev^{gr}} gr^{KP} A \otimes \wedge^g T^* \longrightarrow 0,$$
$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{D} \otimes W^1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{D} \otimes W^g \xrightarrow{ev} A \otimes \wedge^g T^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

For $g = 1$ the conjecture is obvious since $\{1, \wp(u), \wp'(u), ...\}$ is a linear basis of A. Here $\wp(u) = \wp_{11}(u)$ is Weierstrass' sigma function. For $g = 2$ the conjecture follows from Example 9.2 in [7].

In this paper we prove

Theorem 3 *The conjecture 1 is true for $g = 3$.*

5 Local Structure

From now no to the end of the paper we assume $g = 3$. In this case the only singularity of the divisor Θ is the point corresponding to $(u_1, u_2, u_3) = (0, 0, 0)$, which we denote $0 \in J(X)$.

For $p \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let Ω^p be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic p-forms on $J(X)$, $\Omega^p(n)$ $(n \geq 0)$ the sheaf of germs of meromorphic p-forms on $J(X)$ which have poles only on Θ of order at most n, $\Omega^p(n)$ $(n < 0)$ the sheaf of germs of holomorphic p-forms on $J(X)$ which have zeros on Θ of order at least $-n$. We set $\mathcal{O} = \Omega^0$, $\mathcal{O}(n) = \Omega^0(n)$ and $\mathrm{gr}_n \, \Omega^p = \Omega^p(n)/\Omega^p(n-1)$. Since Ω^p is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module, $\mathrm{gr}_n \, \Omega^p \simeq \mathrm{gr}_n \, \mathcal{O} \otimes \Omega^p$.

The exterior differentiation defines a map $d : \Omega^p(n) \longrightarrow \Omega^p(n+1)$. It induces a map $d : \mathrm{gr}_n \, \Omega^p \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{n+1} \Omega^{p+1}$. Let Φ^p_n be the kernel of this map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Phi^p_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_n \, \Omega^p \xrightarrow{d} d\mathrm{gr}_n \, \Omega^p \longrightarrow 0.$$

We define the (graded version of) residue sheaf R^p_n [1] (see also appendix to chapter VII by Mumford in [23]) by

$$R^p_n = \Phi^p_n / d\mathrm{gr}_{n-1} \, \Omega^{p-1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Notice that the support of R^p_n is contained in $\{0\}$, since closed forms are exact at a non-singular point of Θ.

Lemma 3 *(i)* $\Phi^1_n = d gr_{n-1} \mathcal{O}$ *for* $n \geq 2$.
(ii) $\Phi^1_1 \simeq gr_0 \, \mathcal{O}$.
(iii) $d gr_n \, \mathcal{O} \simeq gr_n \, \mathcal{O}$ *for* $n \geq 1$.

To prove the lemma we analyze the defining equation of Θ near the singular point.

Proposition 2 *There exists a local coordinate system* (z_1, z_2, z_3) *near* 0 *such that*

$$\sigma(u) = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2.$$

Proof. Due to (ii) of Definition 1 $\sigma(u)$ has the expansion of the form

$$\sigma(u) = S(u) + \sum_{d<-6} f_d(u), \quad S(u) = u_1 u_3 - u_2^2 - \frac{1}{3} u_1^3 u_2 + \frac{1}{45} u_1^6. \tag{16}$$

Therefore $\sigma(u)$ can be written as

$$\sigma(u) = u_1 u_3 - u_2^2 + \sum_{d \geq 3} F_d(u), \tag{17}$$

where $F_d(u)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d with respect to $\deg u_i = 1$ $i = 1, 2, 3$. We define x_1, x_2, x_3 by

$$u_1 = x_1 + ix_2, \quad u_2 = ix_3, \quad u_3 = x_1 - ix_2.$$

Then

$$\sigma(u) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + (\deg \geq 3 \text{ terms in } x_1, x_2, x_3)\,,$$

where $\deg x_i = 1$ $i = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore one can find holomorphic functions G_1, G_2, G_3 near 0 and a constant c such that

$$\sigma(u) = x_1^2(1+G_1) + x_2^2(1+G_2) + x_3^2(1+G_3) + cx_1x_2x_3,$$

and $G_i(0) = 0$ for all i. Define the local coordinate (X_1, X_2, X_3) by

$$X_i = x_1(1+G_i)^{1/2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then

$$x_1x_2x_3 = GX_1X_2X_3,$$

where $G = \prod_{i=1}^3 (1+G_i)^{-1/2}$ can be considered as a holomorphic function of $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ near 0 such that $G(0) = 1$. With respect to variables X we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(u) &= X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 + cGX_1X_2X_3, \\ &= (X_1 + \frac{cG}{2}X_2X_3)^2 + X_2^2(1 - \frac{1}{4}c^2G^2X_3^2) + X_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

Take the local coordinates (z_1, z_2, z_3) as

$$z_1 = X_1 + \frac{cG}{2}X_2X_3, \quad z_2 = X_2(1 - \frac{1}{4}c^2G^2X_3^2)^{1/2}, \quad z_3 = X_3,$$

we get

$$\sigma(u) = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2,$$

which completes the proof of the proposition. ∎

Proof of Lemma 3

(i) The equation is easily proved at a non-singular point of Θ. Let us prove the equation at the singular point 0. Take a local coordinate (z_1, z_2, z_3) as in Proposition 2. Let

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{a_i(z_1, z_2, z_3)}{\sigma^n} dz_i$$

be a local section of $\operatorname{gr}_n \Omega^1$ around 0. Notice that

$$\frac{z_3^2 h}{\sigma^n} = \frac{-(z_1^2+z_2^2)h}{\sigma^n} \quad \text{in } \operatorname{gr}_n \Omega^1$$

for any holomorphic one form h around 0. Thus one can assume that a_i is linear in z_3:

$$a_i = a_{i0}(z_1, z_2) + a_{i1}(z_1, z_2) z_3.$$

Then the condition $ds = 0$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{n+1} \Omega^2$ is equivalent to the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -z_2 a_{10} + z_1 a_{20} + z_3(-z_2 a_{11} + z_1 a_{21}) &= 0, \\ z_1 a_{30} + (z_1^2+z_2^2) a_{11} + z_3(-a_{10} + z_1 a_{31}) &= 0, \\ z_2 a_{30} + (z_1^2+z_2^2) a_{21} + z_3(-a_{20} + z_2 a_{31}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$a_{10} = z_1 a_{31}, \quad a_{20} = z_2 a_{31}, \quad a_{11} = z_1 b, \quad a_{21} = z_2 b, \quad a_{30} = -(z_1^2+z_2^2) b,$$

for some holomorphic function b of (z_1, z_2). Consequently

$$a_1 = z_1(a_{31} + b z_3), \quad a_2 = z_2(a_{31} + b z_3), \quad a_3 = -(z_1^2+z_2^2) b + a_{31} z_3.$$

Notice that

$$a_3 = z_3(a_{31} + b z_3) - b\sigma.$$

Thus

$$s = (a_{31} + b z_3) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 z_i dz_i}{\sigma^n} = d\left(-\frac{1}{n-1} \frac{a_{31} + b z_3}{\sigma^{n-1}}\right) \quad \text{in } \operatorname{gr}_n \Omega^1, \tag{18}$$

since $n \geq 2$.

(ii) By (18) we have

$$\Phi_1^1 = \mathcal{O} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma},$$

around 0. Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} gr_0\, \mathcal{O} &\longrightarrow \Phi_1^1 \\ F &\mapsto F d \log \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

gives an isomorphism.

(iii) The proof is easy and we leave it to the reader.

▮

Next we analyze the residue sheaf R_n^p.

Lemma 4 *Take a local coordinate system* (z_1, z_2, z_3) *as in Proposition 2. Then stalks at* 0 *of* R_n^3 *and* R_n^2 *are described as*

(i) $\quad (R_n^3)_0 = \mathbf{C}\varphi_n^3, \quad \varphi_n^3 = \dfrac{dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3}{\sigma^n},$

(ii) $\quad (R_n^2)_0 = \mathbf{C}\varphi_n^2, \quad \varphi_n^2 = \dfrac{z_1 dz_2 \wedge dz_3 + z_2 dz_3 \wedge dz_1 + z_3 dz_1 \wedge dz_2}{\sigma^n}.$

Proof. (i) The assertion follows from

$$d\left(\frac{a_1 dz_2 \wedge dz_3 + a_2 dz_3 \wedge dz_1 + a_3 dz_1 \wedge dz_2}{\sigma^n}\right) = -2n\frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 z_i a_i}{\sigma^{n+1}} dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3,$$

with a_i being holomorphic functions at 0.

(ii) Let

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \frac{a_1 dz_2 \wedge dz_3 + a_2 dz_3 \wedge dz_1 + a_3 dz_1 \wedge dz_2}{\sigma^n}, \\ a_i &= a_{i0}(z_1, z_2) + a_{i1}(z_1, z_2) z_3, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

be a local section of $\operatorname{gr}_n \Omega^2$ at 0. Then $ds = 0$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{n+1} \Omega^3$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} a_{10} z_1 + a_{20} z_2 - a_{31}(z_1^2 + z_2^2) &= 0, \\ a_{11} z_1 + a_{21} z_2 + a_{30} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there exists a holomorphic function $b(z_1, z_2)$ at 0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{10} - a_{31} z_1 &= b z_2, \\ a_{20} - a_{31} z_2 &= -b z_1, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= a_{31} z_1 + b z_2 + a_{11} z_3, \\ a_2 &= a_{31} z_2 - b z_1 + a_{21} z_3, \\ a_3 &= -a_{11} z_1 - a_{21} z_2 + a_{31} z_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$s = a_{31} \varphi_n^2 + \frac{1}{2(1-n)} d\left(\frac{a_{21} dz_1 - a_{11} dz_2 + b dz_3}{\sigma^{n-1}}\right).$$

Therefore Φ_n^2 modulo $d(\operatorname{gr}_{n-1} \Omega^1)$ is represented by forms of the form $a\varphi_n^2$ with a being holomorphic at 0. Notice that

$$z_i a \varphi_n^2 = \frac{1}{2(1-n)} d\left(a \frac{z_{i+2} dz_{i+1} - z_{i+1} dz_{i+2}}{\sigma^{n-1}}\right),$$

where the index of z_i should be read modulo 3. Thus R_n^2 is represented by elements of $\mathbf{C}\varphi_n^2$. Using

$$\begin{aligned}
&d\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i dz_i}{\sigma^n}\right) \\
&= -2n\frac{(z_2a_3 - z_3a_2)dz_2 \wedge dz_3 + (z_3a_1 - z_1a_3)dz_3 \wedge dz_1 + (z_1a_2 - z_2a_1)dz_1 \wedge dz_2}{\sigma^{n+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

one can easily check that φ_n^2 is not zero in R_n^2. ∎

Since $d\Phi_n^2 = 0$ in $\operatorname{gr}_{n+1}\Omega^3$, the map

$$d : R_n^2 \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_n \Omega^3 / d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2 = R_n^3 \tag{19}$$

is well defined.

Lemma 5 *The map (5) is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$-modules.*

Proof. The lemma follows from

$$d\varphi_n^2 = 3\varphi_n^3.$$

∎

6 Finite Generation of A over $\mathcal{D}$

In this section we study the differential structure of the cohomology groups $H^0(J(X), \operatorname{gr}_n \Omega^p)$ and prove that A is a finitely generated $\mathcal{D}$-module.

We use the following vanishing theorem.

Theorem 4 *(i) $H^i(J(X), \mathcal{O}(n)) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, $i \geq 1$.*

(ii) $H^i(J(X), gr_n\, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, $i \geq 1$.

(iii) $H^i(J(X), gr_1\, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^{i+1}(J(X), \mathcal{O})$ for $i \geq 0$.

(iv) $H^i(J(X), gr_0\, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \begin{cases} H^i(J(X), \mathcal{O}) & i \leq 2, \\ 0 & i > 2. \end{cases}$

The assertion (i) is due to Mumford [14] and (ii), (iii) follows from it using the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_n \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{20}$$

Notice that

$$H^i(J(X), \operatorname{gr}_n \Omega^p) \simeq H^i(J(X), \operatorname{gr}_n \mathcal{O}) \otimes H^0(J(X), \Omega^p),$$

since Ω^p is a free $\mathcal{O}$ module.

By the definition

$$A(n) = H^0(J(X), \mathcal{O}(n)).$$

Due to (i) of Theorem 4 and (20) we have

$$H^0(J(X), \operatorname{gr}_n \mathcal{O}) = \operatorname{gr}_n^P A \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Proposition 3 *(i)* $\dfrac{H^0(J(X), gr_n\,\Omega^3)}{dH^0(J(X), gr_{n-1}\Omega^2)} \simeq H^0(J(X), R^3_n)$ *for* $n \geq 5$.

(ii) $\dfrac{Ker\big(d : H^0(J(X), gr_n\,\Omega^2) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), gr_{n+1}\,\Omega^3)\big)}{dH^0(J(X), gr_{n-1}\,\Omega^1)} \simeq H^0(J(X), R^2_n)$ *for* $n \geq 4$.

Proof. The cohomology sequence of

$$0 \longrightarrow d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2 \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_n \Omega^3 \longrightarrow R^3_n \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{21}$$

gives

$$H^i(J(X), d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2) = 0, \quad n \geq 2, \quad i \geq 2, \tag{22}$$

and the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), \operatorname{gr}_n \Omega^3) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), R^3_n) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(J(X), d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

by Theorem 4 (i) and $H^i(J(X), R^3_n) = 0$, $i \geq 1$. Let us first prove

$$H^1(J(X), d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 4. \tag{24}$$

The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Phi^2_{n-1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2 \xrightarrow{d} d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2 \longrightarrow 0. \tag{25}$$

implies the isomorphism

$$H^i(J(X), d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2) \simeq H^{i+1}(J(X), \Phi^2_{n-1}), \quad i \geq 1, \quad n \geq 3, \tag{26}$$

and the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), \Phi^2_{n-1}) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), \operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1}\Omega^2) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(J(X), \Phi^2_{n-1}) \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \geq 3. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Similarly, by the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow d\mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1 \longrightarrow \Phi^2_{n-1} \longrightarrow R^2_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{28}$$

we get

$$H^i(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1) \simeq H^i(J(X), \Phi^2_{n-1}), \quad i \geq 2, \quad n \geq 3, \tag{29}$$

and the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), \Phi^2_{n-1}) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), R^2_{n-1}) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1) \longrightarrow H^1(J(X), \Phi^2_{n-1}) \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \geq 3. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Considering

$$0 \longrightarrow \Phi^1_{n-2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d} d\mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1 \longrightarrow 0, \tag{31}$$

we have

$$H^i(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1) \simeq H^{i+1}(J(X), \Phi^1_{n-2}), \quad i \geq 1, \quad n \geq 4, \tag{32}$$

and the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), \Phi^1_{n-2}) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1) \xrightarrow{d} H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(J(X), \Phi^1_{n-2}) \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \geq 4. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

By Lemma 3 (i), (iii)

$$\Phi^1_{n-2} \simeq \mathrm{gr}_{n-3}\,\mathcal{O}, \quad n \geq 4. \tag{34}$$

Using (26), (29), (32), (34) we get, for $n \geq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} &H^1(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_{n-1}\,\Omega^2) \simeq H^2(J(X), \Phi^2_{n-1}) \simeq H^2(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1) \\ &\simeq H^3(J(X), \Phi^1_{n-2}) \simeq H^3(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_{n-3}\,\mathcal{O}). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

It vanishes, since the support of $\mathrm{gr}_{n-3}\,\mathcal{O}$ is contained in Θ and $\dim\Theta = 2$.

Next we prove

$$H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_{n-1}\,\Omega^2) = dH^0(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_{n-1}\,\Omega^2). \tag{36}$$

We have, by (32), (34) and Theorem 4 (ii),

$$H^1(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_{n-2}\,\Omega^1) \simeq H^2(J(X), \Phi^1_{n-2}) \simeq H^2(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_{n-3}\,\mathcal{O}) = 0 \quad n \geq 5, \tag{37}$$

and, by (30),

$$H^1(J(X), \Phi^2_{n-1}) = 0, \quad n \geq 5. \tag{38}$$

Then the equation (36) follows from (27) and claim (i) follows from (23).

(ii) Notice that

$$\operatorname{Ker}\big(d : H^0(J(X), \operatorname{gr}_n \Omega^2) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), \operatorname{gr}_{n+1} \Omega^3)\big) = H^0(J(X), \Phi_n^2). \quad (39)$$

We have

$$\frac{H^0(J(X), \Phi_n^2)}{H^0(J(X), d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1} \Omega^1)} \simeq H^0(J(X), R_n^2), \quad n \geq 4, \quad (40)$$

by (30), (37) and

$$\frac{H^0(J(X), d\operatorname{gr}_{n-1} \Omega^1)}{dH^0(J(X), \operatorname{gr}_{n-1} \Omega^1)} \simeq H^1(J(X), \Phi_{n-1}^1), \quad n \geq 3, \quad (41)$$

by (33). Then the assertion (ii) follows from (40) and (41) using

$$H^1(J(X), \Phi_{n-1}^1) \simeq H^1(J(X), \operatorname{gr}_{n-2} \mathcal{O}) = 0, \quad n \geq 4.$$

∎

Proposition 4 *The $\mathcal{D}$ module A is generated by $A(4)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3 we have, for $n \geq 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} A(n) &= V_1^n + \mathbb{C}F_n + A(n-1), \\ V_1^n &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i A(n-1), \end{aligned}$$

where F_n is an element of $A(n)$ such that

$$\varphi_n^3 = F_n du_1 \wedge du_2 \wedge du_3 \quad \text{in } (R_n^3)_0.$$

It means, in particular, that

$$H^0(J(X), R_n^3) = \mathbb{C}F_n.$$

Lemma 6 *We have, for $n \geq 5$,*

$$F_n \in \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i V_1^n + V_1^n + A(n-1).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5

$$dH^0(J(X), R_n^2) = H^0(J(X), R_n^3).$$

Claim (ii) of Proposition 3 implies that an element of $H^0(J(X), R_n^2) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is represented by an element of $H^0(J(X), \Omega^2(n))$. Let us take elements f_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ of $A(n)$ such that the two form

$$f_1 du_2 \wedge du_3 + f_2 du_3 \wedge du_1 + f_3 du_1 \wedge du_2$$

is a basis of $H^0(J(X), R_n^2)$ and it coincides with φ_n^2 in $(R_n^2)_0$. Then F_n can be written in a form

$$F_n = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_i}{\partial u_i} + G_{n-1},$$

for some $\tilde{f}_i, G_{n-1} \in A(n-1)$. Since $f_i \in A(n)$ one can write

$$f_i = g_i + c_i F_n + h_i, \tag{42}$$

where c_i is a constant and

$$g_i \in V_1^n, \quad h_i \in A(n-1).$$

Lemma 7 *$c_i = 0$.*

Proof. Multiply σ^n to Equation (42) and set $u = (0, 0, 0)$. By changing the coordinate to (z_1, z_2, z_3) as in Proposition 2 around 0, we see that the right hand side becomes c_i. On the other hand the left hand side is zero. Because $\varphi_n^2 \sigma^n$ and elements of $V_1^n \sigma^n$ vanish at 0. Thus $c_i = 0$. ▮

By this lemma we have

$$f_i \in V_1^n + A(n-1).$$

Lemma 6 follows from this. ▮

By Lemma 3 we have

$$A(n) \subset \mathcal{D}A(n-1) \quad \text{for } n \geq 5.$$

Thus A is generated by $A(4)$ over $\mathcal{D}$. ▮

Finally notice the following corollary of Proposition 3.

Corollary 2 *As a $\mathcal{D}$-module $gr^P A$ is not finitely generated.*

On the other hand $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{D}$ as we shall see later (Theorem 3).

Remark 1 *For $g = 2$ $gr^P A$ is finitely generated as proved in [7].*

7 Cohomology of Affine Jacobian

In this section we briefly recall the results on a description of the cohomology group $H^3(J(X)\backslash\Theta,\mathbb{C})$ [17].

By the algebraic de Rham theorem we have the isomorphism

$$H^3(J(X)\backslash\Theta,\mathbb{C}) \simeq \left(A/\sum_{i=1}^{3}\partial_i A\right)\otimes \wedge^3 T^*.$$

Theorem 5 *[17] There is an isomorphism*

$$H^3(J(X)\backslash\Theta,\mathbb{C}) \simeq W^3, \tag{43}$$

where W^3 is given by (15) with $g=3$ and $k=3$. The composition of maps ev and the projection $A \to A/\sum_{i=1}^{3}\partial_i A$ gives the isomorphism

$$W^3 \longrightarrow \left(A/\sum_{i=1}^{3}\partial_i A\right)\otimes \wedge^3 T^*.$$

It follows from Theorem 5 that

$$\dim H^3(J(X)\backslash\Theta,\mathbb{C}) = 14,$$

and that $A/\sum_{i=1}^{3}\partial_i A$ is generated over $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$1, \quad (i;j)=\zeta_{ij}, \quad (ij;kl), \quad (123;123). \tag{44}$$

Proposition 5 *A basis of $A/\sum_{i=1}^{3}\partial_i A$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&1, \quad \zeta_{ij} \quad (1\le i\le j\le 3),\\
&(12;12), \quad (12;13), \quad (12;23), \quad (13;13), \quad (13;23), \quad (23;23),\\
&(123;123).
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Proof. Notice that, by the definition, $(i_1,...,i_k;j_1,...,j_k)$ is skew symmetric in $i_1,...,i_k$ and $j_1,...,j_k$ respectively and satisfies the symmetry relation

$$(i_1,...,i_k;j_1,...,j_k) = (j_1,...,j_k;i_1,...,i_k).$$

It follows that any element of (44) is a constant multiple of an element in (45). Since the number of elements in (45) is 14, they are linearly independent. ∎

Lemma 8 *(i) $ord\,\zeta_{ij}=2$.*

(ii) $ord\,(ij;kl)\le 3$.

(iii) $ord\,(ijk;lmn)\le 4$.

Proof. It is proved in Lemma 8.3 of [7] that

$$\mathrm{ord}\,(i_1,...,i_k;j_1,...,j_k) \le k+1. \tag{46}$$

The assertions (ii), (iii) follow from this. Let us prove (i). Obviously $\mathrm{ord}\,\zeta_{ij}\le 2$. If $\mathrm{ord}\,\zeta_{ij}<2$, then ζ_{ij} is a constant. Because $A(1)=\mathbb{C}$. It contradicts Proposition 5 which claims, in particular, the linear independence of $\{1,\zeta_{ij}\}$. ∎

8 Baker's Addition Formula

In order to describe a basis of $A(2)$ in terms of the basis of the cohomology group of the affine Jacobian given in Proposition 5 we use the addition formula of the sigma function [2, 3, 6].

Let $u=(u_1,u_2,u_3)$ and $v=(v_1,v_2,v_3)$. The addition formula for the $g=3$ hyperelliptic sigma function due to Baker [3] is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sigma(u+v)\sigma(u-v)}{\sigma(u)^2\sigma(v)^2} &= (\wp_{13}(v)-\wp_{13}(u))(\wp_{22}(v)-\wp_{22}(u))-(\wp_{13}(v)-\wp_{13}(u))^2\\ &\quad -(\wp_{23}(v)-\wp_{23}(u))(\wp_{12}(v)-\wp_{12}(u))\\ &\quad +(\wp_{33}(v)-\wp_{33}(u))(\wp_{11}(v)-\wp_{11}(u)). \qquad (47)\end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\mathrm{Sym}(F(u)G(v))=F(u)G(v)+F(v)G(u).$$

Then (47) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sigma(u+v)\sigma(u-v)}{\sigma(u)^2\sigma(v)^2} &= \mathrm{Sym}\left((13;13)(u)-(12;23)(u))\cdot 1\right)-\mathrm{Sym}\left(\wp_{13}(u)\wp_{22}(v)\right)\\ &\quad +\mathrm{Sym}\left(\wp_{13}(u)\wp_{13}(v)\right)+\mathrm{Sym}\left(\wp_{12}(u)\wp_{23}(v)\right)\\ &\quad -\mathrm{Sym}\left(\wp_{11}(u)\wp_{33}(v)\right). \qquad (48)\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3 *ord* $((13;13)-(12;23))=2$.

Proof. Consider the equation (48) as that for functions of u. Then all terms other than $(13;13)(u)-(12;23)(u)$ have poles of order less than or equal to two. Thus the order of poles of $(13;13)(u)-(12;23)(u)$ is at most two. If the order of poles is less than two $(13;13)(u)-(12;23)(u)$ becomes a constant. It contradicts the linear independence of 1, $(13;13)$, $(12;23)$ given by Proposition 5.∎

Corollary 4 *(i)* $A(2)=\mathbb{C}1\oplus\oplus_{1\le i\le j\le 3}\mathbb{C}\wp_{ij}\oplus\mathbb{C}\left((13;13)-(12;23)\right)$.

(ii) $gr_2^P A=\oplus_{1\le i\le j\le 3}\mathbb{C}\wp_{ij}\oplus\mathbb{C}\left((13;13)-(12;23)\right)$.

Proof. By Corollary 3 $(13;13)-(12;23)\in A(2)$. By Proposition 5 elements appeared in (i) are linearly independent. Since $\dim A(2)=8$, they forms a basis of $A(2)$. The assertion (ii) follows from (i). ∎

9 Abelian Functions of Order Three

In this section we study $A(3)$.

Proposition 6 *(i)* $\dfrac{H^0(J(X), gr_3\,\Omega^3)}{H^0(J(X), dgr_2\,\Omega^2)} \simeq H^0(J(X), R^3_3)$.

(ii) $\dfrac{H^0(J(X), dgr_2\,\Omega^2)}{dH^0(J(X), gr_2\,\Omega^2)} \simeq H^1(J(X), \Phi^2_2)$.

(iii) $\mathit{dim}\, H^1(J(X), \Phi^2_2) = 5$.

Proof. (i) By (23) and (26) with $n = 3$ it is sufficient to prove

$$H^2(J(X), \Phi^2_2) = 0. \tag{49}$$

Since $\Phi^1_1 \simeq \mathrm{gr}_0\,\mathcal{O}$ by Lemma 3 (ii), $H^3(J(X), \Phi^1_1) = 0$ by Theorem 4 (iv). The long cohomology exact sequence of (31) with $n = 3$ is

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), \Phi^1_1) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \longrightarrow H^2(J(X), \Phi^1_1) \longrightarrow H^2(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) \longrightarrow H^2(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Lemma 9 *For $0 \le i \le 2$ the map $\alpha : H^i(J(X), \Phi^1_1) \longrightarrow H^i(J(X), gr_1\,\Omega^1)$ is injective.*

Proof. By (iii), (iv) of Theorem 4 we have, for $0 \le i \le 2$,

$$\begin{array}{l} H^i(J(X), \Phi^1_1) \simeq H^i(J(X), \mathcal{O}) \simeq \wedge^i \bar{T}^*, \\ H^i(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) \simeq H^{i+1}(J(X), \mathcal{O}) \otimes H^0(J(X), \Omega^1) \simeq \wedge^{i+1}\bar{T}^* \wedge T^*, \end{array}$$

where $\bar{T}^* = \sum_{i=0}^{g} \mathbb{C} d\bar{u}_i$ and $d\bar{u}_i$ is the complex conjugate of du_i. Then the lemma is proved in a similar manner to Lemma 4.6 in [7] using the representation theory of sl_2. ∎

By Lemma 9 we have the exact sequences;

$$0 \longrightarrow H^i(J(X), \Phi^1_1) \longrightarrow H^i(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) \longrightarrow H^i(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{50}$$

for $i \le 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^2(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) &= \dim H^2(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) - \dim H^2(J(X), \Phi^1_1) \\ &= \dim H^3(J(X), \Omega^1) - \dim H^2(J(X), \mathcal{O}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use Theorem 4 (iii), (iv). Thus $H^2(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) = 0$. By (29) we have (49).

(ii) This follows from (27).

(iii) Consider the exact sequence (30). By (50)

$$\dim H^1(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_1\,\Omega^1) = 6.$$

Thus $\dim H^1(J(X), \Phi^2_2) = 5$ or 6, since $\dim H^0(J(X), R^2_2) = 1$. We prove that the latter is impossible.

Suppose that $\dim H^1(J(X), \Phi_2^2) = 6$. Then

$$\frac{H^0(J(X), \Phi_2^2)}{H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_1\, \Omega^1)} \simeq H^0(J(X), R_2^2).$$

By (39) and

$$H^0(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_2\, \Omega^2) \simeq \frac{H^0(J(X), \Omega^2(2))}{H^0(J(X), \Omega^2(1))},$$

there is an element w of $H^0(J(X), \Omega^2(2))$ such that it is contained in $H^0(J(X), \Phi_2^2)$ and its image in $H^0(J(X), R_2^2)$ becomes a basis of $H^0(J(X), R_2^2)$. By Lemma 5 dw becomes a basis of $H^0(J(X), R_2^3)$. In particular dw is a non zero element of $H^0(J(X), \Omega^3(2))$. By Corollary 4 a basis of $H^0(J(X), \Omega^3(2))$ is given by a subset of a basis of the cohomology group $H^3(J(X)\backslash\Theta, \mathbb{C})$. Thus they are linearly independent modulo exact forms. Then $dw = 0$ as an element of $H^0(J(X), \Omega^3(2))$. This is a contradiction. Thus the assertion (iii) is proved. ∎

Let us find a basis of the space appeared in Proposition 6 (ii).

Lemma 10 *For any* i, j, k, l $(ij;kl)\, du^{max}$ *is in* $H^0(J(X), dgr_2\, \Omega^2)$.

Proof. Notice that

$$d\zeta_i \wedge d\zeta_j \wedge du_k = \frac{1}{2} d(\zeta_i d\zeta_j \wedge du_k - \zeta_j d\zeta_i \wedge du_k).$$

Here

$$\mathrm{ord}\,(\zeta_i d\zeta_j \wedge du_k - \zeta_j d\zeta_i \wedge du_k) \leq 2.$$

In fact

$$\zeta_i d\zeta_j \wedge du_k - \zeta_j d\zeta_i \wedge du_k = \sum_{l \neq k} (\zeta_i \zeta_{jl} - \zeta_j \zeta_{il}) du_l \wedge du_k,$$

and

$$\zeta_i \zeta_{jl} - \zeta_j \zeta_{il} = \frac{\sigma_i \sigma_{jl} - \sigma_j \sigma_{il}}{\sigma^2},$$

where

$$\sigma_i = \partial_i \sigma, \qquad \sigma_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j \sigma.$$

Thus the lemma is proved. ∎

For the sake of simplicity we set

$$\begin{aligned} &v^0 = (13:13) - (12:23) \quad v^1 := (12;12), \quad v^2 := (12;13), \\ &v^3 := (12;23), \quad v^4 := (13;23), \quad v^5 := (23;23). \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Notice that

$$(13;13) = (12;23) \qquad \text{in } \mathrm{gr}_3^P A,$$

by Corollary 3.

Corollary 5 *We have*

$$\frac{H^0(J(X), dgr_2\,\Omega^2)}{dH^0(J(X), gr_2\,\Omega^2)} = \oplus_{i=1}^5 \mathbb{C} v^i du^{max}.$$

Proof. The left hand side is five dimensional by Proposition 6 (ii), (iii). Thus it is sufficient to prove the linear independence of $\{v_i du^{\max}\}$ in the left hand side.

Suppose that $\sum c_i v_i du^{\max} = 0$ in this space. It means that there is an element w in $H^0(J(X), \Omega^2(2))$ such that

$$\sum c_i v_i du^{\max} - dw \in H^0(J(X), \Omega^3(2)).$$

It implies $c_i = 0$ for any i. Since $\{\, v^i du^{\max} \,|1 \le i \le 5 \,\}$ and the basis of $H^0(J(X), \Omega^3(2)) = A(2)du^{\max}$ given in (i) of Corollary 4 constitute a part of a basis of $H^3(J(X)\backslash\Theta, \mathbb{C})$. ∎

We set

$$w_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_n} w,$$

for $w \in A$.

Let F_3 be an element of $A(3)$ such that $F_3 du^{\max}$ is a basis of $H^0(R_3^3)$.

Corollary 6 *We have that*

$$gr_3^P A = \oplus_{1 \le i \le j \le k \le 3} \mathbb{C} \wp_{ijk}(u) \oplus \oplus_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{C} v_i^0 \oplus \oplus_{i=1}^5 \mathbb{C} v^i \oplus \mathbb{C} F_3.$$

Proof. By Proposition 6 and Corollary 5, $\mathrm{gr}_3^P A$ is generated by

$$\wp_{ijk}(u)(1 \le i \le j \le k \le 3), \quad v_i^0(1 \le i \le 3), \quad v^i(1 \le i \le 5), \quad F_3.$$

The number of those elements is 19. While $\dim \mathrm{gr}_3^P A = 3^3 - 2^3 = 19$. Thus the above set of elements is linearly independent. ∎

10 Abelian Functions of Order Four

In this section we study the space $A(4)$ and determine a minimal set of generators of the $\mathcal{D}$-module A.

Proposition 7 *(i)* $\dfrac{H^0(J(X), gr_4\,\Omega^3)}{dH^0(J(X), gr_3\,\Omega^2)} \simeq H^0(J(X), R^3_4)$.

(ii) $\dfrac{H^0(J(X), \Phi^2_4)}{dH^0(J(X), gr_3\,\Omega^1)} \simeq H^0(J(X), R^2_4)$.

Proof. (i) As proved in (23), (24), (35)

$$\frac{H^0(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_4\,\Omega^3)}{H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_3\,\Omega^2)} \simeq H^0(J(X), R^3_4).$$

Let us prove

$$H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_3\,\Omega^2) = dH^0(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_3\,\Omega^2). \tag{52}$$

By (27) it is equivalent to

$$H^1(J(X), \Phi^2_3) = 0. \tag{53}$$

In the exact sequence (30) with $n = 4$

$$\begin{aligned}&H^1(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_2\,\Omega^1) \simeq H^2(J(X), \Phi^1_2) \simeq H^2(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_1\,\mathcal{O}) \simeq H^3(J(X), \mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathbb{C},\\ &H^0(J(X), R^2_3) \simeq \mathbb{C},\end{aligned}$$

by (32), Lemma 3 (i), (iii) and Theorem 4 (iii). Thus $\dim H^1(J(X), \Phi^2_3) = 0$ or 1. Let us prove that the latter is impossible. Suppose that $\dim H^1(J(X), \Phi^2_3) = 1$. Then, by (30),

$$\frac{H^0(J(X), \Phi^2_3)}{H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_2\,\Omega^1)} \simeq H^0(J(X), R^2_3). \tag{54}$$

Since

$$H^0(J(X), \Phi^2_3) = \mathrm{Ker}\left(d : H^0(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_3\Omega^2) \longrightarrow H^0(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_4\,\Omega^3)\right),$$

there exists an element w of $H^0(J(X), \Omega^2(3))$ such that its image in $H^0(J(X), R^2_3)$ becomes a basis of $H^0(J(X), R^2_3)$. We assume $w = 1/3\varphi^2_3$ in $(R^2_3)_0$. By Lemma 5 dw is a basis of $H^0(J(X), R^3_3)$ satisfying $dw = \varphi^3_3$ in $(R^3_3)_0$. Let us write $dw = F_3 du^{\max}$ with $F_3 \in A(3)$. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 6, one can prove that F_3 is contained in the space

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum \partial_i\partial_j A(2) + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{5} \mathbb{C}v^k_i + M\\ &M := \sum_{k=1}^{5} \mathbb{C}v^k + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i A(2) + A(2).\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Explicitly F_3 can be expressed as a linear combination of

$$\zeta_{ijkl}, \zeta_{ijk}, \zeta_{ij}, v^0_{ij}, v^0_i, v^0, v^m_i, v^m, 1, \qquad i,j,k,l \in \{1,2,3\}, \quad 1 \le m \le 5. \tag{56}$$

Define the weight of u_i to be $-(2i-1)$;

$$\mathrm{wt}(u_i) = -(2i-1).$$

In general we say that an element a of A has weight d if

$$a = \frac{a_{-6n+d} + \text{(lower weight terms)}}{\sigma^n}, \quad a_{-6n+d} \neq 0, \quad \mathrm{wt}\, a_i = i.$$

By a calculation using (16) we have

$$\mathrm{wt}\, \zeta_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (2i_j - 1),$$

$$\mathrm{wt}\, v^0 = 12, \quad \mathrm{wt}\, v^1 = 8, \quad \mathrm{wt}\, v^2 = 10, \quad \mathrm{wt}\, v^3 = 12, \quad \mathrm{wt}\, v^4 = 14, \quad \mathrm{wt}\, v^5 = 16.$$

Since

$$F_3 = \frac{1 + \text{(lower weight terms)}}{\sigma^3},$$

we have $\mathrm{wt}\, F_3 = 18$. Elements with weights no less than 18 among (56) are

$$v^0_{33}(22), \quad v^5_3(21), \quad v^0_{23}(20), \quad \zeta_{3333}(20), \quad v^5_2(19), \quad v^4_3(19), \quad \zeta_{2333}(18),$$
$$v^0_{13}(18), \quad v^0_{22}(18), \tag{57}$$

where the number inside the bracket signifies the weight of the element. A direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^4 v^0_{33} &= 6u_1^2 + \cdots, & (58)\\
\sigma^4 v^5_3 &= -6u_1^3 + \cdots, & (59)\\
\sigma^4 v^0_{23} &= -2u_1^4 - 12u_1u_2 + \cdots, & (60)\\
\sigma^4 \zeta_{3333} &= -6u_1^4 + \cdots, & (61)\\
\sigma^4 v^5_2 &= 2u_1^5 + 12u_1^2u_2 + \cdots, & (62)\\
\sigma^4 v^4_3 &= -2u_1^5 + 6u_1^2u_2 + \cdots, & (63)\\
\sigma^4 \zeta_{2333} &= 2u_1^6 + 12u_1^3u_2 + \cdots, & (64)\\
\sigma^4 v^0_{13} &= \frac{4}{5}u_1^6 - 6u_1^3u_3 + 6u_1u_3 - 2S(u) + \cdots, & (65)\\
\sigma^4 v^0_{22} &= \frac{2}{3}u_1^6 + 8u_1^3u_2 + 24u_2^2 + 4S(u) + \cdots,, & (66)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\cdots$ signifies the lower weight terms. Suppose that

$$F_3 = \sum_{i \le j \le k \le l} c^1_{ijkl}\zeta_{ijkl} + \sum_{i \le j} c^2_{ij} v^0_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\sum_{k=1}^{5} c^3_{k,i} v^k_i \quad \mathrm{mod}.M. \tag{67}$$

Notice that

$$\sigma^4 F_3 = S(u) + \cdots , \tag{68}$$

and all elements in M have weights less than 18. Multiply σ^4 to (67) and compare homogeneous components from the highest weight. Then the terms with weights greater than $-6 = 18 - 24$ should vanish in the right hand side of (67). It means that the following coefficients are zero;

$$c^2_{33}, c^3_{5,3}, c^2_{23}, c^1_{3333}, c^3_{5,2}, c^3_{4,3}.$$

For the weight -6 terms the following equation must hold:

$$S = c^1_{2333} P_1 + c^2_{13}(P_2 - 2S) + c^2_{22}(P_3 + 4S), \tag{69}$$

where P_1, $P_2 - 2S$ and $P_3 + 4S$ are the top terms of the right hand side of (64), (65) and (66) respectively. However one can easily verify the linear independence of S, P_1,...,P_3. Thus (69) can not hold and consequently (53) is proved.

(ii) By (32), (34) with $n = 5$ and Theorem 4 (ii) we have

$$H^1(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_3\Omega^1) \simeq H^2(J(X), \Phi^1_3) \simeq H^2(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_2\, \mathcal{O}) = 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{H^0(J(X), \Phi^2_4)}{H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_3\Omega^1)} \simeq H^0(J(X), R^2_4),$$

by (30). By (34) and Theorem 4 (ii) we have $H^1(J(X), \Phi^1_3) = 0$. Then (33) implies

$$H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_3\Omega^1) = dH^0(J(X), \mathrm{gr}_3\Omega^1),$$

which proves (ii). ∎

Recall that $(123; 123) \in A(4)$ by Lemma 8 (iii) and

$$d\zeta_1 \wedge d\zeta_2 \wedge d\zeta_3 = (123; 123) du^{\max}.$$

Corollary 7 *There exists a 2-form* $\xi \in H^0(J(X), \Omega^2(3))$ *such that* $d\zeta_1 \wedge d\zeta_2 \wedge d\zeta_3 - d\xi$ *is contained in* $H^0(J(X), \Omega^3(3))$ *and gives a basis of* $H^0(J(X), R^3_3)$.

Proof. Since we have already proved the equation (52), for the first statement it is sufficient to prove

$$d\zeta_1 \wedge d\zeta_2 \wedge d\zeta_3 \in H^0(J(X), d\mathrm{gr}_3\, \Omega^2). \tag{70}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} d\zeta_1 \wedge d\zeta_2 \wedge d\zeta_3 &= \frac{1}{3} d\tilde{\xi}, \\ \tilde{\xi} &= \zeta_1 d\zeta_2 \wedge d\zeta_3 + \zeta_2 d\zeta_3 \wedge d\zeta_1 + \zeta_3 d\zeta_1 \wedge d\zeta_2. \end{aligned}$$

By a direct calculation we easily see that the order of poles of $\tilde{\xi}$ on Θ is at most 3. Thus (70) is proved.

Next let us prove that the coefficient of $du^{\max}$ of $d\zeta_1 \wedge d\zeta_2 \wedge d\zeta_3 - d\xi$ has a non-zero component of $\mathbb{C}F_3$ in the decomposition of Corollary 6. Assume that this is not the case. Then $(123;123) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i \xi_i$ can be written as a linear combination of $\wp_{ij}$, $(ij;kl)$'s modulo $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i A$, where

$$\xi = \xi_1 du_2 \wedge du_3 + \xi_2 du_3 \wedge du_1 + \xi_3 du_1 \wedge du_2. \tag{71}$$

It contradicts the linear independence of the basis of $A / \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i A$ given by Proposition 5. ▮

Corollary 8 *The $\mathcal{D}$-module is generated by $A(3)$.*

Proof. Let $F_4 du^{\max}$, $F_4 \in A(4)$ be a basis of $H^0(J(X), R_4^3)$. Then, using Proposition 7, in a similar way to the proof of Proposition 4 one can prove that F_4 is contained in $\mathcal{D}A(3)$. Thus $A(4)$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}A(3)$. By Proposition 4 we have $A = \mathcal{D}A(3)$. ▮

Corollary 9 *The $\mathcal{D}$-module A is generated by representatives (45) of $A / \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i A$. Explicitly*

$$A = \mathcal{D}1 + \sum_{i \le j} \mathcal{D}\zeta_{ij} + \sum \mathcal{D}(ij;kl) + \mathcal{D}(123;123), \tag{72}$$

where the sum for $(ij;kl)$ is over elements appeared in (45).

Proof. By Corollary 4, 6 and 7, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(123;123) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i \xi_i \in \text{the right hand side of (72)},$$

for a two form ξ as in Corollary 7. To this end it is sufficient to prove

$$\xi_i \in \mathbb{C} + \sum_{i \le j} \mathbb{C}\zeta_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathbb{C}v_i^0 + \sum_{k=1}^{5} \mathbb{C}v^k, \tag{73}$$

for $1 \le i \le 3$. Let $F_3 du^{\max}$, $F_3 \in A(3)$ be a basis of $H^0(J(X), R_3^3)$ such that

$$F_3 = \frac{1 + (\text{lower degree terms})}{\sigma^3}.$$

Let us write ξ_i as a linear combination of a basis of $A(3)$:

$$\xi_i = c_i^1 F_3 + \sum_{k=1}^{5} c_k^2 v^k + \sum_{k=1}^{3} c_k^3 v_k^0 + \sum_{j \le k \le l} c_{jkl}^4 \zeta_{jkl} + \sum_{j \le k} c_{jk}^5 \zeta_{jk} + c^6 v^0 + c_7.$$

Then

$$\partial_i \xi_i = c_i^1 \partial_i F_3 + \sum_{k=1}^{5} c_k^2 v_i^k + \sum_{k=1}^{3} c_k^3 v_{ik}^0 + \sum_{j \le k \le l} c_{jkl}^4 \zeta_{ijkl} + \sum_{j \le k} c_{jk}^5 \zeta_{ijk} + c^6 v_i^0.$$

Among elements appeared in the right hand side of this equation, those with the weights no less than 18 are (57) and

$$\partial_1 F_3(19), \quad \partial_2 F_3(21), \quad \partial_3 F_3(23).$$

By a calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^4 \partial_3 F_3 &= -3u_1 + \cdots, & (74) \\ \sigma^4 \partial_2 F_3 &= u_1^3 + 6u_2 + \cdots, & (75) \\ \sigma^4 \partial_1 F_3 &= -\frac{2}{5} u_1^5 + 3u_1^2 u_2 - 3u_3 + \cdots. & (76) \end{aligned}$$

Since $(123;123) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i \xi_i \in A(3)$, the weights of elements of $A(3)$ are at most 18 and $\mathrm{wt}\,(123;123) = 18$, we have

$$\left(\sigma^4 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i \xi_i \right)_{\ge -5} = 0,$$

where $(\quad)_{\ge -5}$ denotes the terms with weights no less than than -5. Using the expansion (74)-(76) and (58)-(66),we easily find $c_i^1 = 0$. Thus (73) is proved. ∎

11 Linear Basis of Abelian Functions

In this section we determine a basis of A as a vector space. It is constructed as a subset of the set of derivatives of the basis of $A / \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i A$ given in Proposition 5.

The obvious relation $d(d\zeta_i \wedge d\zeta_j) = 0$ gives

$$\partial_3(ij;12) - \partial_2(ij;13) + \partial_1(ij;23) = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\partial_3(12;ij) = \partial_2(13;ij) - \partial_1(23;ij), \tag{77}$$

for any $i < j$. Using these relations it is possible to erase u_3-derivatives from the derivatives of $(12;ij)$.

We use the notation $(1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} 3^{a_3}) = (1, ..., 1, 2, ..., 2, 3, ..., 3)$ where i appears a_i times, and

$$\zeta_{1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} 3^{a_3}} = \partial_1^{a_1} \partial_2^{a_2} \partial_3^{a_3} \log \sigma, \quad w_{1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} 3^{a_3}} = \partial_1^{a_1} \partial_2^{a_2} \partial_3^{a_3} w$$

for $w \in A$.

Theorem 6 *The following elements give a basis of A as a vector space:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&1, \quad \zeta_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (a_1+a_2+a_3 \geq 2),\\
&(12;12)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}}, \quad (12;13)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}}, \quad (12;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}}, \quad (a_1, a_2 \geq 0),\\
&(13;13)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}, \quad (13;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}, \quad (23;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0),\\
&(123;123)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

The elements (78) generate A as a vector space by Corollary 9 and relations (77). Therefore we have to prove the linear independence of the elements (78) in order to prove the theorem.

Let ξ be an element of $H^0(J(X), \Omega^2(3))$ as in Corollary 7 and ξ_i its components defined by (71). We set

$$u^3 = (123;123) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i \xi^i.$$

Then $u^3 \in A(3)$, $u^3 du^{\max}$ is a basis of $H^0(J(X), R^3_3)$ and $\partial_i \xi^i$ is a linear combination of elements in (78) other than $(123;123)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}$'s by (73).

Thus the theorem is equivalent to saying that the following elements are a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of A:

$$\begin{aligned}
&1, \quad \zeta_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (a_1+a_2+a_3 \geq 2),\\
&(12;12)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}}, \quad (12;13)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}}, \quad (12;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}}, \quad (a_1, a_2 \geq 0),\\
&(13;13)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}, \quad (13;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}, \quad (23;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0),\\
&u^3_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \, (a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

We shall prove the linear independence of them. To this end we prepare some lemmas.

Let us define $u^n \in A(n)$, $n \geq 4$ satisfying $\mathbb{C}u^n du^{\max} = H^0(J(X), R^3_n)$ inductively as follows.

For each $n \geq 2$ let B_n be the set of elements

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (a_1+a_2+a_3 = n),\\
&((13;13)-(12;23))_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}, \quad (a_1+a_2+a_3 = n-2),\\
&(12;12)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}}, \quad (12;13)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}}, \quad (12;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}}, \quad (a_1+a_2 = n-3),\\
&(13;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}, \quad (23;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (a_1+a_2+a_3 = n-3),\\
&u^3_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \, (a_1+a_2+a_3 = n-3).
\end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2$ we understand that there are no elements specified by the condition $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n - 3$ or $a_1 + a_2 = n - 3$. We set $B_0 = \{1\}$, $B_1 = \emptyset$. Notice that B_n is a basis of $\mathrm{gr}_n A$ for $n \leq 3$ by Corollary 4 and 6.

By Lemma 6, Corollary 8 there is a linear combination P^4 of elements in B_5 such that $u^4 := P^4$ is an element of $A(4)$ and $u^4 du^{\max}$ is a basis of $H^0(J(X), R^3_4)$. Suppose that u^i, $i \leq n$ are defined. By Lemma 6 there is a linear combination P^{n+1} of elements in $B_{n+2} \cup \{u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} | 4 \leq j \leq n, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n + 2 - j\}$ such that $u^{n+1} := P^{n+1}$ is an element of $A(n + 1)$ and $u^{n+1} du^{\max}$ gives a basis of $H^0(J(X), R^3_{n+1})$.

Lemma 11 *For $n \geq 4$, $\{u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} | 4 \leq j \leq n, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n - j\}$ is linearly independent as elements of A.*

The proof of the lemma is given later.

Let $P^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}$ denote $\partial_{1^{a_1}}\partial_{2^{a_2}}\partial_{3^{a_3}}P^j$, where u_3-derivatives of $(12; ij)$'s are erased by the relation (77). Therefore $P^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}$, $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n - j$ is a linear combination of elements in $B_{n+1} \cup \{u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} | 4 \leq j \leq n+1, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n + 1 - j\}$. Let

$$C_n = B_n \sqcup \{u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \,|\, 4 \leq j \leq n, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n - j\}.$$

Let us consider the set of symbols

$$\bar{C}_n = \bar{B}_n \sqcup \{\bar{u}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \,|\, 4 \leq j \leq n, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n - j\},$$

where $\bar{B}_n$ is the set of symbols obtained by making a bar to each element of B_n, $\bar{B}_n = \{\bar{\zeta}_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}, ...\}$. The elements in $\bar{C}_n$ are considered to be linearly independent. For a linear combination P of elements in C_n, let $\bar{P}$ be the linear combination of elements in $\bar{C}_n$ obtained by making a bar to each element of C_n appeared in P. A priori $\bar{P}$ may not be uniquely defined since the expression P may not be unique. Take any one of the expression and make $\bar{P}$. We denote the vector space with the elements of $\bar{C}_n$ as a basis by $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\bar{C}_n$.

Lemma 12 *The set $\{\bar{P}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \,|\, 4 \leq j \leq n,\ a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n - j\}$ is linearly independent in $Span_{\mathbb{C}}\bar{C}_{n+1}$ and*

$$gr^P_{n+1} A \simeq \frac{Span_{\mathbb{C}}\bar{C}_{n+1}}{\oplus_{4\leq j\leq n, a_1+a_2+a_3=n-j}\mathbb{C}\bar{P}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}}, \tag{80}$$

where the map from the RHS to the LHS is defined simply by erasing bars of symbols.

Lemma 12 follows from Lemma 11. In fact assume Lemma 11. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{4\leq j\leq n, a_1+a_2+a_3=n-j} c_j^{a_1a_2a_3}\bar{P}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} = 0, \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \sum c_j^{a_1a_2a_3} P^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} = 0, \\ &\Rightarrow \quad \sum c_j^{a_1a_2a_3} u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} = 0, \\ &\Rightarrow \quad c_j^{a_1a_2a_3} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\{u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} | 4 \leq j \leq n, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n - j\}$ is linearly independent by Lemma 11. Thus $\{\bar{P}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} | 4 \leq j \leq n, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n - j\}$ is linearly independent.

Next let us prove (80). We already know that the map given there is well defined, surjective and $\dim \mathrm{gr}_{n+1} A = (n+1)^3 - n^3$. Let us compute the dimension of the RHS. We denote by ${}_nH_r$ the number of combinations taking r elements from n elements admitting repetition. Then

$$\sharp\bar{C}_n = {}_3H_n + {}_3H_{n-2} + 3{}_2H_{n-3} + 3{}_3H_{n-3} + \sum_{j=4}^{n} {}_3H_{n-j},$$

$$\sharp\{\bar{P}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \,|\, 4 \leq j \leq n-1, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = n - 1 - j\} = \sum_{j=4}^{n-1} {}_3H_{n-1-j},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(\text{RHS of } (80)) &= \sharp\bar{C}_{n+1} - \sharp\{\bar{P}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \,|\, 4 \le j \le n, a_1+a_2+a_3 = n-j\} \\ &= {}_3H_{n+1} + {}_3H_{n-1} + 3{}_2H_{n-2} + 3{}_3H_{n-2} + {}_3H_{n-3} \\ &= (n+1)^3 - n^3.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the map is an isomorphism. ∎

Proof of Lemma 11

Let us prove the lemma by the induction on n. Consider the case of $n = 4$. In this case $\{u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \,|\, 4 \le j \le n, a_1+a_2+a_3 = n-j\} = \{u^4\}$. By the definition $u^4 \neq 0$ and the lemma is obvious.

Assume the lemma until n. By the induction hypothesis for n we have the isomorphism (80). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{4\le j\le n+1, a_1+a_2+a_3=n+1-j} c_j^{a_1a_2a_3} u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} &= 0 \quad \text{in } A, \\ \Rightarrow \sum c_j^{a_1a_2a_3} u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathrm{gr}_{n+1} A, \\ \Rightarrow \sum c_j^{a_1a_2a_3} \bar{u}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} &= 0 \quad \text{in RHS of (80)}.\end{aligned}$$

The last equation implies

$$\sum c_j^{a_1a_2a_3} \bar{u}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} = \sum_{4\le j\le n, a_1+a_2+a_3=n-j} \tilde{c}_j^{a_1a_2a_3} \bar{P}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad \text{in } \bar{C}_{n+1}, \tag{81}$$

for some constants $\tilde{c}_j^{a_1a_2a_3}$. Then we have

$$0 = \sum c_j^{a_1a_2a_3} u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} = \sum_{4\le j\le n, a_1+a_2+a_3=n-j} \tilde{c}_j^{a_1a_2a_3} u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad \text{in } A,$$

which implies

$$\tilde{c}_j^{a_1a_2a_3} = 0,$$

since $\{u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \,|\, 4 \le j \le n, a_1+a_2+a_3 = n-j\}$ is linearly independent by the hypothesis of induction. By (81) we have

$$\sum_{4\le j\le n+1, a_1+a_2+a_3=n+1-j} c_j^{a_1a_2a_3} \bar{u}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} = 0.$$

Thus all $c_j^{a_1a_2a_3} = 0$ and $\{u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \,|\, 4 \le j \le n+1, a_1+a_2+a_3 = n+1-j\}$ is linearly independent. ∎

Proof of Theorem 6

The linear independence of elements (79) is equivalent to that of elements of $B := \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n$. We prove that elements of B are linearly independent.

Consider the linear relation among elements of B and write it as

$$Q_n + Q_{n-1} + \cdots + Q_0 = 0, \tag{82}$$

where Q_i is a linear combination of elements in B_i. Then

$$Q_n = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathrm{gr}_n A,$$

and

$$\bar{Q}_n = \sum_{4 \le j \le n-1, a_1+a_2+a_3=n-1-j} c_j^{n;a_1a_2a_3} \bar{P}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad \text{in } \bar{C}_n,$$

for some constants $c_j^{n;a_1a_2a_3}$. It implies

$$Q_n = \sum_{4 \le j \le n-1, a_1+a_2+a_3=n-1-j} c_j^{n;a_1a_2a_3} u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad \text{in } A. \tag{83}$$

Notice that the right hand side of (83) is a linear combination of elements in C_{n-1}. We have

$$\left(\sum_{4 \le j \le n-1, a_1+a_2+a_3=n-1-j} c_j^{n;a_1a_2a_3} u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} + Q_{n-1} \right) + Q_{n-2} + \cdots + Q_0 = 0.$$

Similarly, for $k \le n$, there are constants $c_j^{k;a_1a_2a_3}$, $4 \le j \le k-1$, $a_1+a_2+a_3 = k-1-j$, such that

$$\sum c_j^{k;a_1a_2a_3} \bar{u}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} + \bar{Q}_{k-1} = \sum c_j^{k-1;a_1a_2a_3} \bar{P}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad \text{in } \bar{C}_{k-1}, \tag{84}$$

$$\sum c_j^{k-1;a_1a_2a_3} u^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} + Q_{k-2} + \cdots + Q_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } A. \tag{85}$$

Taking $k = 6$ in (85) we have

$$c_4^{5;000} u^4 + Q_4 + \cdots + Q_0 = 0.$$

It implies

$$c_4^{5;000} = 0, \quad \bar{Q}_i = 0, \quad 0 \le i \le 4,$$

since $\sqcup_{i=0}^4 B_i \sqcup \{u^4\}$ is linearly independent. Then, by (84),

$$\sum c_j^{6;a_1a_2a_3} \bar{u}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} + \bar{Q}_5 = 0,$$

which implies

$$c_j^{6;a_1a_2a_3} = 0, \quad \bar{Q}_5 = 0,$$

since $\{\bar{u}^j_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \,|4 \le j \le 5, a_1+a_2+a_3 = 5-j\} \cap \bar{B}_5 = \emptyset$ in $\bar{C}_5$. Repeating similar arguments we have $\bar{Q}_i = 0$ for any i. It means that the linear relation (82) is trivial. Thus B is linearly independent. ∎

12 Proof of Theorem 3

By Lemma 1 and (12) we have

$$(k_1, ..., k_m; l_1, ..., l_m)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \in A_d, \quad d = 2\sum_{i=1}^{m}(k_j + l_j - 1) + a_1 + 3a_2 + 5a_3.$$

Let B^n, $n \geq 1$, be the subset of (78) consisting of elements of the form

$$(k_1, ..., k_m; l_1, ..., l_m)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}}, \quad 2\sum_{i=1}^{m}(k_j + l_j - 1) + a_1 + 3a_2 + 5a_3 = n.$$

We set $B^0 = \{1\}$. For example

$$\begin{array}{l} B^1 = \emptyset, \quad B^2 = \{\zeta_{11}\}, \quad B^3 = \{\zeta_{1^3}\}, \quad B^4 = \{\zeta_{1^4}, \zeta_{12}\}, \\ B^5 = \{\zeta_{1^5}, \zeta_{112}\} \quad B^6 = \{\zeta_{1^6}, \zeta_{1^32}, \zeta_{13}, \zeta_{22}\}, \quad B^7 = \{\zeta_{1^7}, \zeta_{1^42}, \zeta_{113}, \zeta_{122}\}, \\ B^8 = \{\zeta_{1^8}, \zeta_{1^52}, \zeta_{1^33}, \zeta_{1^22^2}, \zeta_{23}, (12; 12)\}. \end{array}$$

Lemma 13 *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \, dim \, B^n = ch(gr^{KP} A).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \dim B^n &= 1 + \sum_{a_1+a_2+a_3 \geq 2} q^{a_1+3a_2+5a_3} + (q^8 + q^{10} + q^{12}) \sum_{a_1,a_2=0}^{\infty} q^{a_1+3a_2} \\ &\quad + (q^{12} + q^{14} + q^{16} + q^{18}) \sum_{a_1,a_2,a_3=0}^{\infty} q^{a_1+3a_2+5a_3} \\ &= -q - q^3 - q^5 + \frac{1 + q^{12} + q^{14} + q^{16} + q^{18}}{(1-q)(1-q^3)(1-q^5)} + \frac{q^8 + q^{10} + q^{12}}{(1-q)(1-q^3)}. \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A) = \frac{[\,\frac{1}{2}\,]_{q^2}[7]_{q^2}!}{[3]_{q^2}![4]_{q^2}![3 + \frac{1}{2}]_{q^2}!}. \tag{87}$$

by Theorem 2. By a direct calculation one can show that (86) and (87) are equal. ∎

Lemma 14 *The set B^n is a basis of $gr^{KP}_n A$.*

Proof. The lemma can be easily proved by induction on n using the linear independence of (78) and Lemma 13. ∎

It follows from this lemma that $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ is generated by 1, $(ij; kl)$, $(123; 123)$ over $\mathcal{D}$. Thus Theorem 3 is proved. ∎

Corollary 10 *The following set of elements is a basis of* $gr^{KP}_n A$ *for* $n \geq 2$*;*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\zeta_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (a_1+3a_2+5a_3=n), \quad (12;12)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}} \quad (8+a_1+3a_2=n),\\
&(12;13)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}} \quad (10+a_1+3a_2=n),\\
&(12;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}} \quad (12+a_1+3a_2=n),\\
&(13;13)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (12+a_1+3a_2+5a_3=n),\\
&(13;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (14+a_1+3a_2+5a_3=n),\\
&(23;23)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (16+a_1+3a_2+5a_3=n),\\
&(123;123)_{1^{a_1}2^{a_2}3^{a_3}} \quad (18+a_1+3a_2+5a_3=n).
\end{aligned}
$$

13 Concluding Remarks

In this paper we have determined the $\mathcal{D}$-module structure of the affine ring A of the affine Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve of genus 3. The $\mathcal{D}$-free resolution conjectured in the paper [20] is proved to be true. In particular generators and relations among them over $\mathcal{D}$ are determined. A $\mathbb{C}$-linear basis of A is also given in terms of Klein's hyperelliptic $\wp$-functions.

Two filtrations, pole and KP filtrations, are introduced for A. It is proved that the graded ring $\mathrm{gr}^P A$ associated with the pole filtrationis not finitely generated. The reason is the existence of the singularity of the theta divisor. We study the effect of the singularity in detail and reveal the strucure on how A becomes finitely generated although $\mathrm{gr}^P A$ is not. We think it a typical structure valid for hyperelliptic Jacobians of genus $g \geq 3$ or more generally principally polarized abelian varieties with the singular theta divisors. Unfortunately we could not find explicit formulae for a $\mathbb{C}$-linear basis of $\mathrm{gr}^P_n A$ for each n. It is an attractive problem to find them. The result will have an application to addition formulae of Frobenius-Stickelberger type.

The KP-filtration fits more naturally to the description of A. In fact the graded ring $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ associated with the KP-filtration is proved to be finitely generated and a $\mathbb{C}$-linear basis of $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ is explicitly constructed. In general KP-filtration will be appropriate to describe A of the affine Jacobian. However it is effective to use both filtrations to prove something.

It is worth pointing that $\mathrm{gr}^{KP} A$ is isomorphic to the ring A_0 corresponding to the most degenerate case, that is, all coefficients λ_i of the hyperelliptic curve are equal to zero [20]. The latter ring is generated by logarithmic dervatives of a Schur function and thereby is a subring of the ring of rational functions. So Conjecture 1 is reduced to the problem on rational functions. We still do not know whether it helps to prove the conjecture but expect it does.

Finally we remark that it is interesting to consider the deformation of the present case. Namely consider the space of meromorphic sections of a non-trivial flat line bundle and determine the $\mathcal{D}$-module structure of it. The generic case had been studied in [16] in relation with the problem of constructing commuting differential operators. It is curious to study whether the affine ring of an affine Jacobian can be embedded in the ring of differential operators.

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 17340048. A part of the results of this paper was presented in ”Integrable Systems, Geometry and Abelian Functions” held at Tokyo Metropolitan University, May 2005, a series of lectures at University of Tokyo, November 2005 and ”Methods of Integrable Systems in Geometry” held at University of Durham, August 2006. I would like to thank Martin Guest, Yoshihiro Ônishi, Shigeki Matsutani and Michio Jimbo for inviting me to give talks at Symposiums and lectures. I am also grateful to Koji Cho for fruitful discussions.

References

[1] W. V. D. Hodge and M. F. Atiyah, Integrals of the second kind on an algebraic variety, *Annals of Math.* **62** (1955), 56-91.

[2] H. F. Baker, *Abelian functions*, 1897, Cambridge University Press.

[3] H. F. Baker, On the hyperelliptic sigma functions, *Amer. J. Math.* **20-4** (1898), 301-384.

[4] H. F. Baker, On a system of differential equations leading to periodic functions, *Acta Math.* **27** (1903), 135-156.

[5] V. M. Buchstaber and D. V. Leykin, Addition laws on Jacobian varieties of plane algebraic curves, *Proc. Steklov Inst. of Math.* **251** (2005), 1-72.

[6] V. M. Buchstaber, V. Z. Enolskii and D. V. Leykin, Kleinian functions, hyperelliptic Jacobians and applications, in *Reviews in Math. and Math. Phys.* **Vol.10**, No.2, Gordon and Breach, London, 1997, 1-125.

[7] K. Cho and A. Nakayashiki, Differential structure of abelian functions, *Int. J. Math.* **19** (2008), 145-171.

[8] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Transformation groups for soliton equations, Nonlinear Integrable Systems-Classical Theory and Quantum Theory, M. Jimbo and T. Miwa (eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1983, 39-119.

[9] J. C. Eilbeck, V.Z. Enolskii, S. Matsutani, Y. Ônishi and E. Previato, Abelian functions for trigonal curves of genus three, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2007** Article ID rnm140, 38pages (2007).

[10] J. C. Eilbeck, V. Z. Enolskii and E. Previato, On a generalized Frobenius-Stickelberger addition formula, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **65** (2003), 5-17.

[11] J. Gibbons and V.Z. Enolskii, Talk of the seminar at Iwate University, July 21, 2008.

[12] F. Klein, Ueber hyperelliptische Sigmafunctionen, *Math. Ann.* **27** (1886), 341-464.

[13] F. Klein, Ueber hyperelliptische Sigmafunctionen (Zweiter Aufsatz), *Math. Ann.* **32** (1888), 351-380.

[14] D. Mumford, *Abelian Varieties*, Oxford University Press, 1970.

[15] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta* **II**, Birkhauser, 1983.

[16] A. Nakayashiki, Structure of Baker-Akhiezer modules of principally polarized abelian varieties, commuting partial differential operators and associated integrable systems, *Duke Math. J.***62-2** (1991) 315 –358.

[17] A. Nakayashiki, On the cohomologies of theta divisors of hyperelliptic Jacobians, *Contemporary Math.* **309** (2002), 177-183.

[18] A. Nakayashiki, Algebraic expressions of sigma functions for (n,s) curves, arXiv:0803.2083.

[19] A. Nakayashiki, On modular invariant tau functions, in preparation.

[20] A. Nakayashiki and F. Smirnov, Cohomologies of affine hyperelliptic Jacobi varieties and integrable systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001), 623-652.

[21] A. Nakayashiki and F. Smirnov, Euler characteristics of theta divisors of Jacobians for spectral curves, *CRM Proc. and Lect. Notes* **32**, Vadim B. Kuznetsov, ed. (2002), 239-246,

[22] M. Sato and Y. Sato, Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold, Nolinear Partial Differential Equations in Applied Sciences, P.D. Lax, H. Fujita and G. Strang (eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, and Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1982, 259-271.

[23] O. Zariski, *Algebraic Surfaces*, Classic in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.